4th Two-day ICE Simulations Using OpenFOAM®

Simulation of fuel/air mixture formation in optical Gasoline-Direct Injection engine

Andrea Pati, Christian Hasse

Politecnico di Milano, 13.02.2020







Internal combustion challenges



- Emission rules
 - Quantity reduced
 - Pollutant type more regulated
- Alternative fuels
 - Ethanol-Gasoline mixtures
 - E-Fuels
- CO₂ emission
 Fuel consumption

- Clean and efficiency combustion
- Understanding combustion phenomena



Passenger car CO_2 emission and fuel consumption values, normalized to NEDC





- Combustion directly affected
 - Injection process
 - ► Fluid flow
 - Wall interaction

 CFD as diagnostic tool to understand combustion process cause-effect chain



TECHNISCHE

UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT



[1] Scholtissek, A. et al. (2017): Internal Combustion Engine - cause and effect chain. DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.5170480.v3

TFMotion



- Library developed internally at STFS
- Based on LibICE from Politecnico di Milano
- Interface to wide range of software





Automatic mesh generation



- TFMotion has been developed to increase flexibility in engine simulation
- It is composed by two sections
 - $\blacktriangleright C++ code \rightarrow interface to OpenFOAM$
 - $\blacktriangleright Python \ code \rightarrow scripting$

- Foam code and Third-Party separated
- No limitation in mesh generation
- No limitation in mesh motion methodology







- Automatic mesh generation
 - Mesh deformation
 - If quality is acceptable \rightarrow continue deformation
 - Otherwise:
 - Modify geometry
 - Regenerate mesh

- Mesh quality insured
- Flexibility in quality criteria
- Mesher decoupled from solver and mesh motion

Automatic mesh generation for the full-cycle





Darmstadt optical engine

- Darmstadt optical engine
- ► Full optical access
- Gasoline direct injection
 - Spray guided
 - ► ECN Spray G
- Pent-roof 4 valve
- Spray guided
- ► Fired and motored operating condition

ADVANTAGES

- Different operating point available
 - Engine load
 - Engine speed
- Large amount of data available
- Full cylinder view at each crank angle



















- Simulation methodology
 - Mesh generation and motion
 - Spray model
 - ► Wall film
- Motored condition
- Sprayed condition
- Conclusion and outlook





Mesh generation



- Mesh generated with snappyHexMesh v1812
- 1.28 Mio cell
- 1 mm cell size in cylinder
 - ► 0.5 mm at injection
- Min. valve lift 0.5 mm
- Intake valve refined to capture flow detachment
- Crevice model
 → mass/momentum exchange





Spray in vessel: the ECN Spray G injector



- Engine Combustion Network (ECN) both for experimental and numerical investigations
- Eight-hole GDI injector manufactured by Delphi
- Spray G3 early injection operating point



[1] https://ecn.sandia.gov/gasoline-spray-combustion/computational-method/mesh-and-geometry/

| Spray G3 | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Fuel | Iso-octane | | |
| Fuel temperature | 363.15 K | | |
| Ambient temperature | 333 K | | |
| Injection pressure | 200 bar | | |
| Ambient density | 1.01 kg/m ³ | | |
| Injected mass | 10 mg | | |
| Injection duration | 780 µs | | |







Breakup: comprehensive Kelvin-Helmholtz and Rayleigh-Taylor (KHRT) model optimized both for GDI sprays

| Injection | Blob injection Cd = 0.73 | | NUMERICAL | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----------|---|
| Primary atomization | Pilch-Erdman | | | |
| Secondary breakup | B0: 0.61 | | | (STFS |
| (KH) | B1: 23.0 | | | |
| Secondary breakup (RT) | CRT: 0.35 | | | Simulation reaktiver Thermo-Fluid Systeme |

Assessed quantities:

- Axial vapor penetration
- Axial liquid penetration (double threshold based on projected liquid volume)
- Centerline gas velocity
- Spray morphology and in-plume mass distribution at different times





- Several operating point available on ECN
- ► G3 close to ambient condition, low-evaporating

| Name | T _{fuel} [K] | T _a [K] | ρ _a [kg/m³] | Ambient pressure [kPa] |
|------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| G3 | 363 | 333 | 1.12 | 100 |

Axial vapor penetration



Axial PLV profiles

- Good matching between the liquid distribution inside the computed spray plumes and the experiments at different times
- Accurate reproduction of the axial vapor penetration trend



Wall film model



- Approach proposed by Bai and Gosman
- Simulation of the fuel film flow on an arbitrary configuration.
- ► Thin film approximation:



- ► Free liquid surface: Sfs
- ► Liquid film thickness: h
- Velocity profile
- Film temperature: Tf
- Equations are solved for film mass, momentum and energy

- Mass conservation is solved for the film thickness
 - Film density depends on its temperature which affected by evaporation and heat transfer.
 - Mass conservation can be an issue because of density changes.
- Film density ρ_{film} is assumed to be uniform and is enforced to fullfill the instantaneous mass conservation:

$$\begin{split} m_{injected} &= m_{film} + m_{spray} + m_{vap} \\ \rho_{film} &= \frac{m_{film}}{V_{film}} = \frac{m_{impinged} - m_{evaporated}}{\int_{s} \ hds} \end{split}$$

 $\ensuremath{m_{film}}$: impinged mass corresponding to the expected mass present in the wall film.

[1] Lucchini, T., et al. Development and application of a computational fluid dynamics methodology to predict fuel/air mixing and sources of soot formation in gasoline direct injection engines. *International Journal of Engine Research*, 15(5):581–596, 2014.

 \mathbf{n}

[1]







- Simulation methodology
 - Mesh generation and motion
 - Spray model
 - ► Wall film
- Motored condition
- Sprayed condition
- Conclusion and outlook





Motored condition

- 4 operating point investigated
 - Engine speed 800-1500 rpm
 - Intake pressure from experiment, dependent on CA
- Simulation performed with OpenFOAM 2.4.x
- URANS simulation
- k-Epsilon turbulence model
- Discretization schemes
 - Limited linear (linear upwind for momentum transport)
- Gas temperature at wall fixed at 60°
 - Huh-Chang thermal wall function [1]
- Scalable wall function







Intake pressure OP A (curve change with OP)

| Pin | 800 rpm | 1500 rpm |
|----------|---------|----------|
| 0.95 bar | Α | С |
| 0.40 bar | В | D |



Motored condition

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Motored engine full cycle simulation
- Operating point A
- Interaction of flow with fixed and mobile wall





- Manifold dynamic visible
- Tumble generation
- Interaction of flow with moving wall
 Compression
 Stronger parallel flow
 Expansion
 Stronger perpendicular flow





Data at TDC

| ΟΡ | pressure [bar] | Mas (crevice excluded) [mg] | Mass in crevice [mg] | TKE at TDC [m^2/s^2] | Norm. TKE at TDC [-] |
|----|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Α | 13.27 | 418.72 | 140.53 | 2.87 | 0.5457 |
| B | 5.41 | 164.59 | 57.29 | 2.74 | 0.5210 |
| С | 13.97 | 417.49 | 147.94 | 13.20 | 0.7139 |
| D | 5.93 | 178.62 | 62.80 | 13.70 | 0.7409 |



- Large amount of mass trapped in crevice
- TKE mainly dependent from regime
- Good match with experimental data
 - Match peak pressure
 - Match pressure during compression
- ► Underestimation peak pressure of OP C → Is a different heat transfer model for this operating point required?



Motored condition – effect of crevice





$\dot{m}_{cyl\to 1} = \frac{m_{0,1}}{P_{0,1}} \frac{dP_1}{dt}$

Recirculation region

- Large amount of mass trapped in crevice
- Momentum exchange between crevice and combustion chamber
- Large impact during expansion phase

Crevice model based on mass and momentum exchange shows effect of jet stream on expansion phase







Quantitative comparison of velocity fields
 Experimental data from PIV measurements

- Tumble plane
- Operating point A Full load, 800 rpm











Quantitative comparison of velocity fields
 Experimental data from PIV measurements

- Tumble plane
- Operating point A Full load, 800 rpm









- Quantitative comparison of velocity fields
- Experimental data from PIV measurements

- Tumble plane
- Operating point A Full load, 800 rpm









- Simulation methodology
 - Mesh generation and motion
 - Spray model
 - ► Wall film
- Motored condition
- Sprayed condition
- Conclusion and outlook





Spray condition



- Operating point A investigated
- Simulation performed with OpenFOAM 2.4.x
- URANS simulation
- k-Epsilon turbulence model
- Discretization schemes
 - Limited linear (linear upwind for momentum transport)
- Gas temperature at wall fixed at 60°
 - ► Huh-Chang thermal wall function [1]
- KHRT breakup
- Wall film model w/ Bai-Gosman

- Spray G injector
- Iso-octane
- Operating point G3
 - ESOI 270° bTDC



Spray condition – operating point A



Qualitative analysis of results



- Underflow plume:
 - ► Higher penetration
 - ► Wall film produced on piston
- Overflow plume:
 - Penetration similar to other plume
 - ► Wall film produced on liner
- Strong interaction with valve
- Wall film on liner at end of injection





Distribution of wall film



- Underflow plume:
 - ► Higher penetration
 - ► No wall film produced
- Overflow plume:
 - Penetration similar to other plume
 - ► Wall film produced
- Strong interaction with valve
- Wall film on liner at end of injection



Fuel / air distribution

OP B

engine load

 $\lambda[-]$





- Spray G injects insufficient amount of mass for optical engine
 - Longer injection time required for stochiometric mixture
- Charge stratified at low engine speed
- High engine speed reduces stratification
 Turbulence dispersion of the charge



Fuel / air distribution

 $\lambda[-]$





- Large wall film evaporates
- Lean zone below exhaust valves
- Small and concentrated rich region at the crevice near exhaust valve
 - Wall film on liner enters the crevice during compression





CONCLUSION

- Simulation of all 4 motored operating point of Darmstadt engine was performed with OpenFOAM
- Velocity fields and pressure was compared against experimental data showing good agreement
- Darmstadt optical engine coupled with ECN Spray G3 was simulated
- Influence of engine load and speed on the fuel/air mixture formation was studied
 - Engine speed reduce stratification
- Large region of wall film formation can be observed
- Wall film has large impact on fuel air mixture distribution

OUTLOOK

- LES study of multiple cycle
- Study the influence of wall-gas-spray interaction
- Study the motored condition with alternative turbulence model (like IIS-RSM)







SFB/Transregio 150 Turbulente, chemisch reagierende Mehrphasenströmungen in Wandnähe

Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Projektnummer 237267381 – TRR 150







